

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F. | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/632,363 | 07/31/2003 | | Robert A. Bellman | SP03-102 | 3992 |
| 22928 | 7590 | 02/24/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| CORNING SP-TI-3-1 | INCORI | PORATED | ROSASCO, STEPHEN D | | |
| CORNING, NY 14831 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 1756 | |

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | か か |
|--|---|---|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/632,363 | BELLMAN, ROBERT A. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Stephen Rosasco | 1756 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply | opears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address - |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDON | N. imely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 | | |
| · <u>-</u> | is action is non-final. | |
| Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under | • | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 4 | 133 O.G. 213. |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-23 is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | awn from consideration. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2003 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the E | n)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is o | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. Its have been received in Applica Ority documents have been received Au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | tion No ved in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/25/03 | 4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | |

Detailed Action

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 1-17) in the reply on 8/15/05 is acknowledged.

During a telephone conversation with Siwen Chen on 8/15/05 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-17. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 18-23 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

The specification on page 3, line 5, refers to the Ikuta et al. patent 5,475,575 which is incorrect. The correct number is 6,475, 575, which is listed on the IDS.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b). Claims 1-17 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type

double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-23 of copending Application No. 10/646409 in view of Chang et al. (6,372,610).

Art Unit: 1756

The present application teaches all of the limitations of the copending application, with the addition of the formation of the ion-implanted layer.

Chang et al. teach a method of separating a wafer into a plurality of dies, comprising the step of: c) implanting gaseous ions in a wafer such that the ions are arranged in a network pattern of intersecting lines forming separation lines for the plurality of dies wherein the gaseous ions do not chemically react with the wafer; and,

d) heat treating the wafer to cause the wafer to split through the thickness along the network of intersecting lines thereby forming a plurality of dies.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Stephen Rosasco whose telephone number is (571) 272-1389. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM. The Examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff, can be reached on (571) 272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S. Rosasco Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1756

S.Rosasco 2/13/06